

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

FOOD, CIVIL SUPPLIES AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute: Industrial Dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Manipal Industries, Bungekutta, S.K.

Award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore—Published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No. 1473/70-71, dated 10th December 1970 from Sri B. V. Kollali, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

**BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.**

Dated 9th December 1970.

PRESENT :

Sri. R. V. Kollali B.A., L.L.B., .. Presiding Officer.

I. D. No. 22 of 1970.

I Party

**The Workmen of
Manipal Industries,
Bungekutta, S. K.**

II Party

**The Management of
Manipal Industries,
Bungekutta, S. K**

Appearances.

For the I Party :—

Sri K. Shambu Shetty, Secretary, Bharatiya Mazdoor Sangha, Mangalore.

For the II Party :—

S. Ranga Pai, Manager, Manipal Industries, Bungekutta, S.K..

References

(G. O. No. FGL 455 LLD 70, dated 20-8-1970).

AWARD

The Government of Mysore, in exercise of the powers conferred upon them under Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial

Dispute, Act, 1947, and by their Order No. FCL 455 LLD 70 dated 20th August 1970 have referred to this Tribunal for adjudication an industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Manipal Industries, Bungeerkutta, setting out the following points of dispute—

“ Are the workmen of Manipal Industries, Bungeerkutta, justified in demanding that their wages be increased as follows :—

- (a) 0-40 paise per day for daily rated workers ; and
- (b) 15% of existing rates for monthly rated workers ?

II. If not, to what other quantum of wage increase are the said workers entitled and from what date ?

2. On receipt of the reference, the above Industrial Dispute was registered as I.D. No. 22 of 1970 and the I Party was called on to file its claim statement.

3. The I Party contended that the II Party was running several industries including the saw mills at Hangarcutta which is one of the biggest in the District of South Kanara and engaged about 80 workmen, that the workers had been demanding increase in their wages for about a year past and the II Party, after promising to consider the question favourably, declined to do so later, that the wages in similar concerns in Coondapur were higher than those paid by the II Party which is financially sound and that their demands are reasonable and be accepted.

4. The II Party, when called on to file its Counter Statement, informed this Tribunal that the matter had been settled out of Court and that the I Party had undertaken to withdraw the dispute. Both the Parties appeared before this Tribunal on 5th December 1970 when it was sitting at Mangalore and represented that the increments had been given to the workers with effect from 1st October 1970 and that the claim statement was not pressed. The Secretary of the I Party made an Sendersement below the claim statement itself to this effect.

5. The circumstances detailed above make it clear that there is no dispute which could be said to be subsisting now though it was there on the date of the Reference. Therefore, it follows that the I Party is not pressing its claim statement. In fact, the claim statement itself is expressly withdrawn. I, therefore, pass the following Award.

AWARD

The reference is rejected as the demands are not pressed before this Tribunal in view of an amicable settlement out of Court. No order as to costs.

R. V. KOLLALL,
Presiding officer,
Industrial Tribunal,
Bangalore.